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BACKGROUND: Significant progress in hardware and surgical techniques for sacroiliac joint (SIJ) fusion surgeries has facilitated safer and more efficacious procedures for patients. Triangular-shaped implants for SIJ fusions are the most-studied devices and have demonstrated good short-term and long-term clinical outcomes. Reports on cylindrical threaded implants are very limited. Owing to biomechanical differences in the implants and the surgical techniques required for their placement, previously reported results may not be applicable to cylindrical threaded implants. The aim of this study was to report preliminary clinical experience with minimally invasive SIJ fusion using intraoperative stereotactic navigation and the Rialto SI Fusion System.

METHODS: We retrospectively reviewed 24 patients who underwent SIJ fusions between May 2015 and October 2017 performed by a single surgeon.

RESULTS: Mean total satisfaction score was 89.0% ± 27.6%. A statistically significant reduction ($P = 0.0028$) in low back pain scores was noted from an average baseline score of 6.6 ± 2.4 to 3.7 ± 3.3 postoperatively. Leg pain scores decreased from 4.8 ± 3.8 to 1.5 ± 2.9 ($P = 0.0034$). Mean surgical time was 53.0 ± 13.9 minutes. It took significantly longer ($P = 0.0089$) to perform the initial 13 cases (59.9 ± 15.2 minutes) compared with subsequent cases (45.4 ± 7.3 minutes). Estimated blood loss was minimal (10.4 ± 5.2 mL).

CONCLUSIONS: Minimally invasive SI joint fusion using cylindrical threaded implants can be safely performed with minimal morbidity and good clinical outcomes.

INTRODUCTION

Significant improvement in hardware and surgical techniques for sacroiliac joint (SIJ) fusion surgeries over the last decade has facilitated safer and more efficacious procedures for patients experiencing SIJ pain. Several devices are currently approved for clinical use in the United States for minimally invasive SIJ fusion, including iFuse Implant System (SI-BONE, Inc., Santa Clara, California, USA); SI-LOK Sacroiliac Joint Fixation System (Globus Medical, Inc., Audubon, Pennsylvania, USA); SambaScrew SI Fixation System (Orthofix, Lewisville, Texas, USA); Silex Sacroiliac Joint Fusion System (Xtant Medical, Belgrade, Montana, USA); Simmetry Sacroiliac Joint Fusion System (Zyga Technology, Inc., Minnetonka, Minnesota, USA); and, the most recent addition, Rialto SI Fusion System (Medtronic, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA). The iFuse Implant System is the most-studied device and has demonstrated good short-term and long-term clinical outcomes. The iFuse Implant System is based on the placement of 3 triangular implants; however, reports on outcomes from the placement of cylindrical threaded implants are very limited. Owing to the biomechanical differences of the implants and the surgical techniques used for their placement, previously reported results may not be applicable to cylindrical threaded implants. The purpose of this study was to report our preliminary clinical experience with minimally invasive SIJ fusion using intraoperative stereotactic navigation and the Rialto SI Fusion System.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We retrospectively reviewed 24 patients who underwent SIJ fusion between May 2015 and October 2017 performed by a single surgeon (S.E.). SIJ disruption or sacroiliitis was diagnosed in all patients, and the patients underwent at least 6 months of conservative treatment. Clinical diagnosis was established based on extensive physical examination, provocative SIJ pain tests, imaging studies, and confirmation via diagnostic SIJ injections performed using fluoroscopic guidance.
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Abbreviations and Acronyms
EBL: Estimated blood loss
SIJ: Sacroiliac joint
Surgical Technique
The patient was positioned prone on a Jackson table with support under the chest, pelvis, and hips. The lower lumbar region, including the buttocks and pelvis, was prepared and draped in the usual sterile surgical fashion. A small incision was made over the contralateral posterior superior iliac spine, and a percutaneous reference frame was placed for the StealthStation navigation system (Medtronic). After confirmation anteroposterior and lateral localizing x-rays were obtained, a three-dimensional O-arm spin was performed with the information subsequently transferred to the StealthStation for navigation. Using navigation, the ideal entry points and trajectories for placement of 2 cylindrical threaded implants in a posterior-to-anterior and medial-to-lateral direction across the SIJ were selected. Navigation was then used to first drill and then tap 2 pilot holes across the SIJ via a minimally invasive incision. Two cylindrical threaded implants filled with allograft were placed across the ipsilateral SIJ using navigation. An additional intraoperative three-dimensional O-arm spin was performed to confirm placement of both implants. The wounds were closed in standard fashion.

Clinical Outcomes
The severity of low back and leg pain was evaluated using an 11-point visual analog scale. Patient functional outcomes were assessed using a self-reported patient satisfaction survey (Table 1). Answers were scored on a scale from 0 to 100: 100 = very satisfied/much better/definitely yes; 75 = somewhat satisfied/better/probably yes; 50 = don’t know/same/don’t know; 25 = somewhat dissatisfied/worse/probably no; 0 = dissatisfied/much worse/definitely no. A total score was calculated for each patient by averaging the scores from all 3 responses.

Data collection also included patient age, sex, previous surgeries, surgical time, estimated blood loss (EBL), length of stay, and complications. Plain radiographs (standing anteroposterior views) were used to assess fusion as an absence of lucency around the implant or screw fracture and migration. Pelvic computed tomography scans were performed only for symptomatic patients.

Statistical Analysis
A paired t test was performed to determine any differences in baseline and postoperative low back and leg visual analog scale scores.

RESULTS
The mean follow-up time was 19 months (range, 12–34 months). There were 21 female patients and 3 male patients with a mean age of 62.2 years (range, 33–79 years). The left SIJ was treated in 14 patients, and the right SIJ was treated in 8 patients. One patient underwent simultaneous bilateral SIJ fusion, and another patient had the contralateral side treated 5 months following the initial SIJ fusion procedure. Selected demographic, clinical, and surgical characteristics are presented in Table 2. Only 7 (29.2%) patients had no previous surgery, whereas 15 (62.5%) patients had prior lumbar spine fusions.

The paired t test revealed a statistically significant reduction ($P = 0.0028$) in low back pain scores from an average baseline score of $6.6 \pm 2.4$ to $3.7 \pm 3.3$ postoperatively. Leg pain scores decreased from $4.8 \pm 3.8$ to $1.5 \pm 2.9$ ($P = 0.0034$). Although similar improvement in leg pain scores was observed for patients who had previous surgeries ($5.0 \pm 3.7$ to $1.9 \pm 3.2$; $P = 0.028$), the low back pain scores did not quite reach statistical significance ($6.5 \pm 2.4$ to $4.4 \pm 3.3$; $P = 0.068$). The mean total satisfaction score was $79.0\% \pm 27.6\%$. The responses to the question about

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/female</td>
<td>3/21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years, mean (range)</td>
<td>62.2 (33–79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up, months, mean (range)</td>
<td>19 (12–34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side fused</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>8 (33.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>14 (58.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>2 (8.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous surgeries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No previous surgery</td>
<td>7 (29.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decompression</td>
<td>2 (8.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar fusion 1–2 levels</td>
<td>7 (29.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar fusion 3–5 levels</td>
<td>6 (25.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar fusion &gt;5 levels</td>
<td>2 (8.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCS</td>
<td>4 (16.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIJ fusion</td>
<td>2 (8.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip replacement</td>
<td>1 (4.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SCS, spinal cord stimulator; SIJ, sacroiliac joint.
having surgery again for the same condition were the most highly rated with an average score of $88.2\% \pm 24.1\%$, followed by the question about satisfaction with surgery outcomes with an average score of $76.3\% \pm 31.7\%$. Finally, the question about pain or condition compared with before surgery had an average score of $72.4\% \pm 42.4\%$.

The mean surgical time was $53.0 \pm 13.9$ minutes. It took significantly longer (Student t test, $P = 0.0089$) to perform the initial 13 cases ($59.9 \pm 15.2$ minutes) compared with subsequent cases ($45.4 \pm 7.3$ minutes). EBL was minimal at $10.4 \pm 5.2$ mL, and although the same tendency toward reduction was observed ($12.1 \pm 6.0$ mL vs. $8.6 \pm 2.3$ mL), the difference was not statistically significant (Student t test, $P = 0.11$).

Thirteen (54%) patients were discharged home the same day, and the remaining patients stayed overnight and were discharged the following day. The following complications were encountered: 2 patients had symptomatic subcutaneous hematomas, which resolved spontaneously, and 2 patients had symptomatic subcutaneous hematomas, which required drainage. One patient developed an abscess, but this did not require further imaging. The only other postoperative complication was a wound dehiscence, which was managed conservatively.

All of our patients received 2 cylindrical implants per side, but according to a study on fixation biomechanics, 1 implant inserted from the lateral sacral approach is muscle sparing and the risk of vascular structure injury and a possibility of impingement on the sacral neural foramina are reduced, the disruption of stabilizing ligaments remains a concern. Therefore, care should be taken to preserve all major pelvic ligaments during implant placement.

Minimally invasive SIJ fusion using cylindrical threaded implants can be safely performed with minimal morbidity and good clinical outcomes.
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